

POSITION PAPER

**MATCH FIXING, A VIRAL EUROPEAN
SPORT'S ENEMY**

By FederBet Aisbl

Breaking News

FIFA subsidiary Early Warning System GmbH (the FIFA's supervisory body of anomalous betting flux) and Federbet Aisbl signed a memorandum of cooperation on April 4th last year that aims only to combat match fixing. This cooperation is another demonstration of the great work achieved by Federbet.

For more information see the Annex n°2.

Introduction

This Position Paper has the aim to demonstrate and propose the Federbet working method as a valid instrument to fight match fixing.

Federbet is an European federation which has the headquarter in Belgium; it collects consumers and several gambling agents (holders of a regular authorization in their own country).

Our federation is concerned with match fixing prevention and it works at an European level to offer an effective European strategy against fraud in sport events, both by screening the betting flux and by training people that works in gambling area.

We want to illustrate how only our working system - that include either the transnational overtures on data exchange or the previous training of soccer players and other people that work in this area - shall defeat the match fixing issue.

Gambling and match fixing in Europe

In order to understand and face the match fixing matter in Europe we need to start necessarily from the huge changes that have been occurred in recent decades at gambling sector.

Several member states, that in different ways restricted in their country the possibility to have gambling services, after the huge and always growing offer of online gambling services, have been forced to align their set of rules to the European regulations (articles n. 49,52,56,57 TFUE) which apply to cross border gambling two essential liberties: freedom of establishment and freedom to provide services. Both of them prohibit discriminatory measures that limit such liberties. Such restriction can be justified only for public reasons, public security and public health.

This principle was declared for the first time by the Court of Justice of the European Union in the Schindler case; in that decision the provision and the use of cross border gambling offers was deemed an economic activity that falls within the scope of the fundamental freedoms of the TFEU. Moreover in the Gambelli case the CJEU confirmed that online services are included in the

application of the Treaty and that restrictions on the freedom to provide services to recipients established in another member states, or restrictions on the freedom of national residents to receive, over the internet, services offered in others member states, are not allowed.

Member States may restrict or limit the cross-border supply of all or certain types of online gambling services on the basis of public interest objectives (articles 51 and 52 TFUE). The CJEU has identified some of these objectives, like the consumer protection, the fraud prevention and the prevention of gambling problem.

All these reasons need to be legitimate and uniformly applied to be taken as the basis for a restriction; furthermore the restrictions should be not discretionary, proportionate to their objectives and coherent with the general behaviour of the Member State in that sector.

All hereinabove described have brought an exponential growth of online gambling services offer and , as a result of that, a huge increase of gambling market.

In 2011 annual revenues of the overall EU gambling market were estimated to be around €85 billion, with annual growth rates of around 3%. Online gambling is the fastest growing service activity in this sector in the EU, with annual growth rates of almost 15%. Annual revenues in 2015 are expected to be €15 billion, compared to €9.3 billion in 2011. There is a wide offer and take-up of online gambling services in the EU, with 7 million consumers currently participating in online gambling.

It is because of this that organized criminals are trying to manipulate sport events, especially soccer competitions, but not only there.

An investigation has shown that only from August 2013 to December 2013 more than 50 football matches have been "surely fixed", more than 150 are suspected.

In 2011 Europol revealed that there were irregularities in 680 matches played from 2008 to 2011, but this bad phenomenon is growing quickly. It is a real problem that is starting to affect many countries, like United kingdom, that up

to now were immune to it; it is evident in some countries, like Malta and Cyprus, wherein a huge rate of matches are manipulated.

Match fixing is a transversal matter, it involves both European countries and not, superior leagues and minor, it is starting to contaminate a lot of sports like basket, tennis and volley.

Behind this phenomenon there is a huge criminal network: Europol estimates not less than 425 people involved in it, considering players, clubs managers, arbiters and criminals.

Considering what we have just said, it is evident the necessity to fight match fixing at an European level. The coordination and comparison of all the countries experiences(both European countries and not) is the only solution to seriously face this issue, because a national answer to a transnational problem shall bring us poor results.

The two most important football associations are now aware of this matter.

UEFA (Union European Football Associations) General Secretary, Gianni Infantino said : "For UEFA, the fight against match-fixing is a top priority but it is important that all over Europe there is as uniform an approach as possible against it", and he underlines the UEFA's intent to make harsher sport punishments charged to people that manipulate matches or try to do it.

Also the higher positions of FIFA (International Federation of Football Associations) are taking now the problem in the right consideration, considering that it involved also some of the qualification matches to the FIFA World Cup 2014.

Sepp Blatter, President of FIFA, recognized how match fixing matter is really dangerous for the sport integrity, because if the matches are fixed, it will not be any kind of interest in watching football matches.

FIFA's Director of Security Ralf Mutschke, former senior manager at the German Federal Criminal Police Office and former Interpol director, pointed out again how the international peculiarity of match fixing it makes all the national and individually solutions useless. He underlines how the disciplinary punishments over the players or arbiters are not enough, because they cannot effect organized criminals. He clearly said that all national governments have

to help FIFA and the others sport associations to face the problem, and that it needs a political will to change, starting with harder punishments as a deterrence for fraud:"The cooperation between law enforcement and sporting organisations needs to be strengthened. The support of law enforcement bodies, legal investigations, and ultimately tougher sanctions are required"; "Players are contacted in Europe, but the betting frauds take usually place in Asia, so that it is particularly difficult for national polices to really understand and tackle the match fixing phenomenon. Mutschke confirmed that "We must clearly assume that organised crime will try and fix matches at the World Cup" Mutschke said. "At this event, bets will be placed at a great rate and a large profit will be made."

He obviously said that The World Football's Governing Body will do all it can to make sure the tournament remains clean: "We have a broad variety of different measures to look at the betting market, to make a risk assessment of each single game, the final is probably not at risk."

At last he pointed out that, even though FIFA is making the maximum effort to tackle this problem, should not be charged to it or to the others category associations fighting criminal organizations; he wishes more support from all the governments and more cooperation between all the national Agency working on this topic.

European Union and match fixing

The transnational approach it seems to be also the way chosen by the European Union, that at the moment would not directly settle this matter. It is just trying to conduct all the national efforts with a common cause.

The European Union's interest for match fixing could be noticed from the speech of Martin Schulz - President of European Parliament - that intervened at the public hearing "Match Fixing, Match Lost?", organized by the members of European Parliament Salvatore Iacolino(Forza Italia) and Marc Tarabella (Belgium socialist) in collaboration with Federbet. Mr. Schulz underlined that European Union want to do more to tackle match fixing and that at the

moment there is a lack of European Union for this matter; it is needed an European criminal regulation, that should be applied in every Member State: "We need more Europe in this field"; "Match-fixing is a particular concern for the European Parliament and also for me personally, as a great sports fan. Today, no single institution, country or organisation is able on its own to tackle match-fixing and other forms of corruption in sport. Victory should depend not on money but on the speed and strength of the athlete. What do you propose to make this a reality today and take the fight forward for integrity in sport?".

Match fixing is an emergent crime, Mr. Iacolino said (supervisor at the special committee on organized crime, corruption and money laundering): "This phenomenon should be fought by more inspection , more prevention activities and more cooperation between a plurality of parties: not only public authorities and policies, but also sport federations, clubs, bookmakers and above all athletes"; in the 2014, because of that, the European Parliament was spurred on to insert a pilot project on match fixing prevention into the financial plan: "At the beginning of 2014 we are going to launch a call for tenders to use all the resources identified by the European Parliament. After the presentation of the projects and the assignment of the funds it will start a new trend in fighting match fixing and illegal betting".

The Commission, for its part, showed a strong interest for the possible methods of match fixing problem resolution.

Basically it tries to fight match fixing in three ways; all of them according to the idea of unifying all the member States efforts, encouraging the coordination and cooperation between member States and the operators of sports betting area by the exchange of information, experiences and good practices as well, and do not settle it directly with an European regulations.

The three undertaken ways are:

- 1) The Commission has extended the enforceability of the Anti-Money Laundering Directive to all the gambling area.

We can notice that from the words of Harrie Temmink, Deputy Head of the Directorate - General Internal Market of UE Commission: "Will not be any

exceptions anymore, if not for some kind of lottery, whose has a lower money-laundering risk."

Androulla Vassiliou (Member of Education, Culture, Multilingualism and Youth Commission), answering at the interrogation of the Eurodeputy Roberta Angelilli, said: "The Commission has contacted the stakeholders (Public Authorities, Law Enforcement Bodies, sports associations, gambling operators and gambling regulation Authorities) and has discussed with all of them in order to develop a valid system to contrast fixed matches. We are persuaded that is strictly necessary in this context having more cooperation and more coordination between stakeholders both at European and at international level. The Commission does not mean to regulate services in the gambling area.

However, in order to prevent the match fixing phenomenon, it wants to work together with member States, in addition to industrial and sports agents, in order to elaborate recommendations on good practices of prevention."

2) In 2011 the Commission launched the Green Paper on on-line gambling in the Single Market, followed by the creation of a group of expert in gambling, and by the periodic organization of stakeholders reunions and conferences, starting in this way an extensive consultation on all public policy challenges and possible Single Market issues resulting from the rapid development of both licit and unauthorised on-line gambling offers directed at citizens in the EU. All of this was done with an open mind, without deciding before a specific objective to reach, with the only purpose to evaluate all the interests involved and to put together all the stakeholders opinions. In 2014 shall adopt a recommendation on good practices of prevention and fight against betting on fixed matches, which will indicate how the Commission wishes to proceed.

3) According to the above mentioned consultations, the preservation of sport's integrity, and therefore of the match fixing fighting, was added to the 2014/20 European program for the education, training, youth, sport (c.d. Erasmus+), which has allocated about fifteen billion investment over seven years for these sectors. This program earmarks funds for projects and collaborative partnerships supporting match fixing fighting and above all that which have valid solutions to prevent it.

Criticism of the Federbet method

Recently Sistema Gioco Italia (hereafter SGI) - the federation of Italian Manufacturer's Association, after that Federbet signed consultancy contracts with some important football clubs of Italian major league to keep under control the betting flux on their matches, has questioned both the real value of Federbet method to fight match fixing and also the possibility that Federbet is technically able to provide any kind of anti-fraud services.

These statements are not true.

At first it is really important to underline how Federbet Association collects many gambling operators, all of them holders of a regular authorization in their own country; moreover all of them have to be strictly controlled to join us and they have to sign a very inflexible ethical protocol.

In addition to this, the validity of our method is given by the matter of facts.

In 2012 the decision made by the Italian sports justice has granted the Federbet working method as a valid and effective way to fight combined matches. It was just because of the affiliation to our Federation that Novara Calcio, an Italian football club charged with an accusation of objective liability, received a very strong punishment reduction.

Further attestation of the Federbet method value is given by the most important Italian prosecutors in match fixing area; they have made use of our data to verify and to study in deep their investigations, so that they implicitly recognized the proficiency, the validity and the importance of it.

To confirm what we have just said, we can make you notice that Mr. Ciro Angelillis and Mr. Roberto Di Martino - the prosecutors in charge of the most important investigations on match fixing - they always attend and they were always actively involved at all the Federbet events on match fixing.

Attending at the public hearing "Match fixing, Match lost?", at first, they underline how the poor punishment given for sports fraud - within one and two years - does not allow a relevant fight against match fixing, and how they cannot even do an appropriate investigation to contrast it, considering that they cannot usually do phone tapping for this kind of crime or arrest people that commit it. They said that the only way to properly fight this phenomenon

is modifying the fraud regulations, increasing the penalties and punishing the football clubs that will not have an appropriate system of control.

It only remains to point out that one of the most important football league in the world, the Spanish league, has chosen Federbet (and therefore our working method) to fight match fixing. It signed with us a contract that forecast a previous and simultaneous monitoring of all the matches of major Spanish championship.

It was on the ground of the Spanish collaboration that we were invited in Valencia to show our working method in the main event organised by the Spanish League against match fixing "Match Fixing, Gambling and Organised Crime Forum". It was there that Manuel Quintanar, the new Spanish anti-corruptions chief of Anti-Fraud Agency, created as a guarantee of sports competitions integrity, has praised the Federbet work, that is composed of analyzing and interpreting all the betting flux data coming from worldwide bookmakers by the best analysts and technicians in that sector, in order to alert the clubs in case of match fixing.

It is just this kind of activity that make our work different and innovative compared with all the our competitors works, like for example Sportradar; as a matter of fact they do not make something more than a merely data collecting activity, without giving any kind of technical aid to interpret and analyse them; the above mentioned activity is fundamental if we really want to defeat match fixing; in order to prove what we said, it needs just to compare the great results we reached in this field with the very poor of our competitors.

On our side we cannot really understand all the SGI criticisms and we really wish that them come from really poor knowledge of our work, and we wish that SGI can change its mind so that our federations can work together in the future. Basically, being SGI the Federation that represent the Italian Monopoly in gambling area, our two federations have the same aim, in other words we all want an honest sport, where it will be protected both Bookmakers and consumers interests.

Conclusions

On the ground of all the above considerations, given nowadays the strong transnational character of match fixing problem and the always growing necessity of protect sports integrity and young people involved in it (that is moreover one of the most important EU aims pursued by the already mentioned Erasmus Plus Program), we can impartially affirm that Federbet working method is the only possibility to give an effective and concrete answer to the match fixing issue, because it has as ultimate aim to defeat match fixing giving a kind of solution to it that will not be just a mere palliative.

In conclusion our decisive proposal to solve match fixing problem contain:

1) In order to be enrolled in the championships, every football club (both major and minor league) has to sign a consultancy contract that will operate in two ways: at first it will control the betting flux on matches (so that the club shall be alerted in time of the match fixing attempt and it shall take appropriate counter-measures), and then it will expect the organization of training and information courses for players (also for them that are playing in the younger teams), managers and all the people working in the clubs.

This is the only way to make all the stakeholders aware of all the risks connected with match fixing that could negatively affect also people that has honestly behaved, and it made them aware both of the possible consequences of it and of the possible ways to contrast it.

We should also consider that the awareness of being strictly controlled shall automatically discourage players and managers that are willing to combine matches.

2) All the above mentioned services shall be given by legally authorised companies, and all the criteria shall be decided at an European level in order to avoid differences in national regulations.

3) Shall be given harsher punishments for crimes related to match fixing. Moreover these punishments shall be uniformly applied at the EU level, providing a criminal European law; that European regulation shall provides the possibility to arrest people involved in match fixing, to use wire-tipping during

the investigations and above all the possibility of blocking bank account and money flux when there are evidences of betting related to match fixing.

If what we have just said is not possible as things stand at the moment, shall be recognised a transnational value to all the inflicted punishments, to avoid the absurdity of players punished in a Country for combines matches that at the same time could play in other place.

4) Shall be created an European Agency that will coordinate and run all the national activities from Courts, policies, clubs and all the operators working in sports and gambling area, to ensure an effective fighting of match fixing, and correspondingly an high quality of sport in Europe, especially regarding the growth of young people, their education and training by way of sport.

This European Agency shall have two meaning aims:

- Firstly it shall supervise the healthy development of sport and athletes in Europe.
- Furthermore it shall protect and guarantee the integrity of sport, fighting match fixing at an European level, coordinating and directing all in one direction the national efforts of Public Authority, stakeholders and all the operators in this field.

Annex 1

In order to totally understand the complexity of match fixing matter, we should necessarily know the different kinds of possible betting on football matches, given that not always sports fraud are made combining and betting on the final result of them (in other words deciding before who is the winner of the match or if it shall finish with a draw).

In the praxis these fraud are committed betting on alternative kinds of gambling, that nowadays are widely offered by Bookmakers.

By way of example hereunder are indicated some of the possible kinds of betting:

1) Goal/NoGoal: it is a type of betting in which does not matter the final result

of the matches, but they are fundamental the goals scored or not scored by the two teams.

Goal: both of teams have to score at least one goal (Ex. 1-1;2-1;1-2).

NoGoal: one of the two teams must not score at all (1-0;2-0;0-1;0-6).

2) Under/Over 1.5; Under/Over 2.5;Under/Over 3.5: these kinds of betting are typified by betting on the amount of goals scored in a match (Ex. Under 2.5: the amount of scored goals has not to be more than two; over 2.5: the amount of scored goals has to be at least three).

3) Final result with the handicap: with this kind of betting it is possible to combine the prevision of the final result of the matches with the handicap; it means that the winner team has to score at least two goals more than the competitor (ex. 2-0; 1-3; 3-1; 2-5; 6-0).

Moreover we should considerate that it is possible to bet on the partial result of the matches (in others words on the half time result), or it is possible the "Live" betting (while the teams are playing), or that it is even possible to combine the above mentioned alternative kinds of betting with the partial or final result of the matches.

What we have just said it is just by way of example and it has the aim of make you aware of the complexity of this matter; there is a huge variety of possible kinds of betting, that could change depending on the different bookmakers.

Annex 2

Press release by FIFA and Federbet

Zurich, 9 April

FIFA subsidiary Early Warning System GmbH and Federbet signed a memorandum of cooperation on April 4th last year that aims only to combat match fixing, a phenomenon becoming more and more important in leagues and international competitions around the world.

This collaboration with a non-profit federation is for the Early Warning System GmbH a demonstration of the good work achieved in the past two years by

Federbet in the field of sports handling struggle. This work has captured the attention of the maximum international board of football.

The agreement concludes a path of understanding and trust between the Early Warning System GmbH and Federbet. This strong relationship was shown by the presence of the Head of International Affairs of Early Warning System GmbH, Jacek Wojdyla in the Hearing 'Match Fixing, Match Lost?' organized by Federbet on December 4th, 2013 in the European Parliament, and further emphasized with the presence of the President of Parliament, Martin Schulz.

Federbet is a European federation fighting against match fixing by two different but related methods; on one hand using a unique control system of betting anomalous flows and on the other by education programs to sportsmen and European awareness campaigns. The agreement with FIFA and EWS comes after the ones signed with the Spanish Football League and international football teams such as Sampdoria, Udinese and Novara.

Annex 3

Hereunder a list of matches suspected of being fixed:

| <u>Date</u> | <u>League</u> | <u>Match</u> | <u>Fixed on</u> | <u>Result</u> |
|-------------|----------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| 07.12 | Cyprus | Doxa - Ael Limassol | 2 | 0-1 |
| 07.12 | England | Bromley - Farnborough | 1 Hand&Over | 3-0 |
| 08.12 | Greece | Ael Kallonis - Panathinaikos | 2 & Over | 0-4 |
| 10.12 | England | Oxford City - Workington afc | 1 | 1-1 |
| 13.12 | Wales | Bala Town - Gap | 1 & Over | 6-0 |
| 14.12 | England | Kidderminster - Alfreton | 1 & Over | 1-3 |
| 14.12 | Bulgaria | Cska Sofia - Lyubimets 2007 | 1 Hand & Over | 7-0 |
| 14.12 | Wales | Port Talbot - Carmanthen Town | 1 | 1-1 |
| 15.12 | Bulgaria | Beroe - Pirin | Over in live | 2-1 |
| 15.12 | Greece | Ael Kalloni - Paol | Over | 2-5 |
| 04.01 | England | Solihull - Stalybridge | Over | 3-3 |
| 04.01 | Cyprus | Alki Larnaca | 2 | 1-2 |
| 09.01 | Friendly | Psv - Lokomotiv Plovdiv | Over in live | 3-0 |
| 11.01 | Friendly | Shangai - Lokomotiv Plovdiv | Over | 2-2 |
| 02.02 | Greece | Ael Kallonis - Asteras Tripolis | 2 | 0-2 |
| 03.02 | Friendly | Stromsgodset - Daugava | 1Hand -3,5 | 5-1 |
| 03.02 | Friendly | Stromsgodset - Daugava | Over 4,5 | 5-1 |
| 06.02 | Friendly | Lokomotiv Sofia - Teplice | 2 & Over | 2-4 |
| 08.02 | Friendly | Botev Plovdiv - Dukla Praha | 1 & Over | 3-2 |
| 08.02 | Friendly | Levsky Sofia - Plzen | Over | 0-3 |
| 09.02 | Italy Lega Pro | Paganese - Frosinone | 2 & Over | 1-2 |
| 18.02 | Friendly | Hammarby - Mika | X2 & Over | 4-4 |
| 21.02 | Friendly | Hammarby - Sirius | 1 & Over | 3-2 |

| | | | | |
|-------|-----------------|------------------------------------|---------------|---------|
| 23.02 | Greece | Asteras Magoulas - Vyzas | Over | 3-2 |
| 26.02 | Vietnam | Song Lam Nghe An - An Do-An Giang | 1 | 1-0 |
| 26.02 | Afc Cup | Yangon Utd - Kelantan | Over | 5-3 |
| 28.02 | Algeria | Eulma - Constantine | 1 | 4-0 |
| 04.03 | Sweden U21 | Halmstad - Helsingborg | 2 | 1-5 |
| 08.03 | Lithuania | Atlantas - Dainava Alytus | 1Hand & Over | 6-0 |
| 08.03 | Armenia | Ararat Yerevan - Mika Ashtarak | X2 | 1-1 |
| 08.03 | England Conf. | Forest Green - Cambridge | 1 & Over | 3-2 |
| 09.03 | Bulgaria | Pirin - Neftochimic Burgas | Over | 3-2 |
| 09.03 | Czech Rep. U21 | Dukla Praha - Sigma Olomuc | 2 | 2-5 |
| 09.03 | Italia Lega Pro | Paganese - L'Aquila | 2 | 0-1 |
| 21.03 | Moldova | Sheriff Tiraspol - Zimbru Chisinau | Over | 2-1 |
| 22.03 | Friendly | Hammarby - Inter Turku | 1 | 2-1 |
| 25.03 | Bulgaria | Chernomorets Burgas - Nefotchimic | 4-0 live | 4-0 |
| 26.03 | Bulgaria | Lyubimets - Pirin | Over | 1-3 |
| 26.03 | Greece | Panathinaikos - Kalloni | 1 Handicap | 3-0 |
| 30.03 | Italia Lega Pro | Barletta - Benevento | 2 & Over | 1-6 |
| 30.03 | Greece | Levadiakos - OFI Crete | Over | 2-1 |
| 03.04 | Georgia | Kolkheti - Khobi | Over 7,5 live | 5-3 |
| 04.04 | Georgia | Kutaisi - Dila Gori | 2 | 0-4 |
| 04.04 | Ucraina U21 | Shaktar Donetsk - Karpaty Lviv | 1 & Over | 3-1 |
| 05.04 | Portugal | Oliveirense - Benfica B | Over | 1-2 |
| 16.04 | Latvia | Ventspils - Jurmala | Over 3,5 | 3-1 |
| 16.04 | Croatia | Lok. Zagreb - Istra 1961 | Ht/Ft 2/1 | 0-1;3-2 |
| 20.04 | Spain | Constancia - L'Hospitalet | 1 | 2-1 |
| 20.04 | Spain | Sant Andreu - Baleares | 1 | 2-1 |
| 20.04 | Tunisia | Esperance Tunis - Olympique Beja | Over | 3-1 |
| 20.04 | England | Notts County - Everton (Women) | 1 | 2-0 |

Tennis

| | | | | |
|-------|---------------|---------------------|---|-----|
| 10.03 | Chall. Kazan | Michon - Rudnev | 1 | 2-0 |
| 04.04 | Ch. Guadalupe | Rochus - Millot | 1 | 2-1 |
| 15.04 | | Kutrovsky - Torebko | 2 | 1-2 |

Annex 4

Hereunder a list of reports on Federbet Aisbl:

❖ 11/04/2014

TuttoSport

"Combine: accordo Fifa - Federbet"

❖ 10/04/2014

Bet and Economy News - Pronosticalciorescommesse.com

"Fifa e Federbet insieme contro I match fixing"

- ❖ 17/04/2014
As.com
"El tackwondista Joel Gonzales se pasa a la lucha antifraude"
- ❖ 17/04/2014
SportMediaset
"Scommesse: la Fifa sceglie Federbet per la lotta al match fixing"
- ❖ 08/04/2014
El Desmarque
"Cartagena y guadalajara no se fian del Granada B contra el Cadiz"
- ❖ 12/04/2014
Entornointeligente.com
"18 reflexionessobre la segunda jornada del master"
- ❖ 23/04/2013
Asca - agenzia stampa quotidiana nazionale
"Calcioscommesse: Angelillis (Procura Bari) confiscare beni a corrotti"
- ❖ 05/05/2012
Magazine Sport
"Il modello del D. Lgs. 231/01 applicato al mondo del calcio? Alcune riflessioni critiche"
- ❖ Novarapuntocom
"Il Novara calcio denuncia: Scommessopoli continua"
- ❖ 05/06/2012
ilGiornale.it
"Tocca a Miccoli inaugurare il filone-Bari"
- ❖ 01/10/2013
Bet and Economy News - Pronosticalcioescommesse.com
"Campionato cipriota, altra gara a rischio combine segnalata da Federbet"
- ❖ 2/04/12
Tuttoatalanta.com
"Codice antifrode, il Novara prima al mondo a cautelarsi allo scandalo scommesse"
- ❖ 2/09/20122
Mediaset - TGcom24
"A MILANO L'EDIZIONE 2011 DELL'EIG"

- ❖ 2/10/2013
Bet and Economy News - Pronosticalciorescommesse.com
"Federbet segnala una nuova gara anomala nel campionato Lituano"
- ❖ 04/03/2014
Bet and Economy News - Pronosticalciorescommesse.com
"Calcioscommesse: Federbet, match sospetto in Svezia"
- ❖ 04/04/2012
Il Blog di GMA
"Calcioscommesse: dopo le parole i fatti. L'esempio del Novara.....(e se il Gubbio lo riprendesse?)"
- ❖ 05/02/2014
AS.com
"Se amanaron el Real-Granada femenino y el Constancia- Nastic"
- ❖ 05/02/2013
Libero News
"Donetsk, la Federbet preoccupata"
- ❖ 05/02/2013
Infotoro.it
"ANCHE IL TORINO TIRATO IN BALLO NEL CALCIOSCOMMESSE"
- ❖ 05/02/2013
laRepubblica.it
"NOVARA SI ATTIVA CONTRO CALCIOSCOMMESSE: Sottoscritto accordo preliminare di consulenza con la Federbet Aisbl, federazione di diritto belga specializzata nel controllo del gioco d'azzardo legato agli eventi sportivi"
- ❖ 05/03/2014
Corriere del Mezzogiorno - Bari
"Il magistrato anti-scommesse dà lezioni al calcio spagnolo"
- ❖ 05/02/2014
El Pais
"Match fixing extends its reach"
- ❖ 05/02/2014
TuttoMercatoWeb.com
"Match fixing: è italiano il modello efficace di governance aziendale"
- ❖ 06/04/2012
Faz.net
NovaraCalcio
„Traue nur der eigenen Mutter“

- ❖ 05/02/2014
TuttoMercatoWeb.com
Esclusiva TMW - Di Martino:"In Italia pochi strumenti contro il match fixing"
- ❖ 05/02/2014
TuttoMercatoWeb.com
Esclusiva TMW - Iacolino:"Prevenzione del reato di frode sportiva in tutta l'UE"
- ❖ 07/03/2014
El Pais
"Un campeon contra el fraude"
- ❖ 21/07/2013
Apollo.lv
"Bukmeikeriem aizdomas par Daugavpils «Daugavas» spēles sarunāšanu"
- ❖ 15/07/2013
Borozani.com
"Teuta, kurdis humbjen!"
- ❖ 29/10/2013
jamma.it
PE. Angelilli (Ppe): "A quando il riconoscimento dei CTD?"
- ❖ 16/09/2013
Bet and Economy News - Pronosticalcioscommesse.com
"Calcio Australiano: arrestati calciatori del Southern Stars per match fixing"
- ❖ 25/10/2013
Vavel.com
"Tebas reafirma que el Racing - Hércules estuvo amañado"
- ❖ 17/09/2013
Agenzia Ansa
"Ue:Parlamento;estendere reato associazione mafiosa in Europa"
- ❖ 21/12/2013
radio24.ilsole24ore.com
"L'Unione Europea scende in campo contro le scommesse illegali"
- ❖ 20/02/2014
L'Unità - ed. nazionale
"Scommesse, guerra tra Confindustria e Federbet"

❖ 12/02/2014

TuttoMercatoWeb.com

TMW - Stop match fixing, Abodi:"Accordo con Federbet, basta imbarazzo tra i tesserati"

❖ 24/02/2014

lfp.es

Liga de futbol profesional

"International match fixing forum. The Fundacion del Futbol Profesional has organized a forum in Valencia on 'match fixing, gambling and organised crime'which will be attended by a number of renowned

Glossary

- 1) EUROPOL: European Union's Law Enforcement Agency;
- 2) TFUE: Treaty on the Functioning of European Union.

Federbet Aisbl
Square de meuus 35
B 1000 - Bruxelles